

### **REMARKS**


This is in response to the Office Action of January 31, 2005, which indicates that while applicant elected Group II, applicant failed to elect a species. Applicant respectfully points out that it did in fact elect species I in the original response, which stated: "Applicants have hereby elected Group II, Species I, and claims 1-23, 28-35, 40-50, and 60-91 are now pending in the application." (Emphasis Added). If some further action is required of Applicant, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call or email the undersigned with a more detailed explanation, as the original response appears to be fully response to the Restriction Requirement.

### **CONCLUSION**

It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Restriction Requirement. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (314) 726-7500.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 28 MARCH 2005

By:   
Bryan K. Wheelock  
Registration No. 31,441

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
7700 Bonhomme, Suite 400  
St. Louis, Missouri 63105  
314-726-7500